

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,807	08/19/2002		Jurg Paul Haller	P 6772.1 US	5715
30008	7590	04/06/2004		EXAM	INER
GUDRUN		KETT	PARADISO, JOHN ROGER		
LONSSTR. 53 WUPPERTAL, 42289				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GERMANY				3721	8
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/06/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	10/064,807	HALLER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John R. Paradiso	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice.	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal mat	•
·	ilidei Ex parte Quayle, 1955 O.L	. 11, 433 0.0. 213.
Application Papers 4) □ Claim(s) 1-23,27,28 and 30-44 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-23,27,28 and 30-44 is/are rej 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Extended to the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specificatio	vithdrawn from consideration. jected. and/or election requirement. kaminer. accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	the Examiner. Note the attacker	2 011100 7 1011011 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	numents have been received. Suments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/064,807

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

1. In view of the amendments filed 1/16/2004, the objections to the Specification are hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/16/2004 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-23, 27, 28, and 30-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over GUNTER, JR. ET AL in view of ZEMKE (US 3983679.

GUNTER, JR. ET AL discloses a device for enveloping inserts in an envelope, in which a first article (6) and a second article(s) (5) are fed are fed from a supply to a deflector (25) which directs the first article along a first path (upward) to an insert folding station (50). The second article is directed along a second, generally parallel path along a transport means (41). The second article is passed beneath a stationary adhesive applicator (47), which applies lines of adhesive to the first article along it's edges. An erecting unit (61) positions the first article in an

Application/Control Number: 10/064,807

Art Unit: 3721

upright position before it is folded. (See GUNTER, JR. ET AL column 2 line 46 to column 4 line 18, column 4 line 42 to column 5 line 3, and figures 5A, 5B, 6, and 11.)

GUNTER, JR. ET AL does not disclose the erecting unit erecting the first article from a horizontal position into an upright position and transporting the first article in the upright position.

ZEMKE discloses a device for enveloping inserts in an envelope in which an erecting means (46) erects the first article from a horizontal to a vertical position and then transports the article in an upright position. (See ZEMKE col. 4:1-16 and figure 1.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transport the articles of GUNTER, JR. ET AL in an upright position, as taught by ZEMKE, in order to facilitate identification of the articles

Regarding claims 8 and 11, the adhesive disclosed in GUNTER, JR. ET AL appears to be cold-setting, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use either hot-setting or cold-setting adhesive in the combination of GUNTER, JR. ET AL and ZEMKE, whichever type was most desirable for the consumer market the envelopes would be directed to, since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of hot-setting and cold-setting adhesive for their use in the packaging art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to seal the envelope would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,807

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 26-27, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide a flattened surface on part of the cylinder, since applicant has not disclosed that providing such a flattened portion solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any generally cylindrical surface that provided positive control and grip over the articles.

Regarding claims 30 and 34, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the folding elements adjustable in order to accommodate differing consumer needs, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

5. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GUNTER, JR. ET AL in view of ZEMKE, as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of HELM.

The combination of GUNTER, JR. ET AL and ZEMKE, as described above, does not disclose any of the transport rollers being vacuum-equipped.

HELM discloses an envelope inserting device in which a cylinder (8) is used to direct the flow of envelopes by adhering the envelope to its surface by means of vacuum ports on the surface of the cylinder. The cylinder is linked to a vacuum source to provide the vacuum. (See HELM column 2 lines 31-54.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of GUNTER, JR. ET AL and ZEMKE by using vacuum-equipped transport rollers, as taught by HELM, in order to more positively control the articles.

Page 5

Regarding claim 15, Applicant is given Official Notice that the use of friction coatings on rollers to facilitate the gripping for movement of papers and the like is notoriously well known in the art (and in everyday life, with every office photo-copier providing an example) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a friction coating to the gripping rollers in order to provide a more firm and secure grip.

Regarding claims 16-17, Applicant is given Official Notice that the use of sensors to detect the presence of papers and thereby signal a supply roller to shut off operation is notoriously well known in the art (and again, with the same example from everyday life - office photocopiers are often equipped with sensors that signal when papers are present or absent and thereby signal the transport rollers to cease operation, whether vacuum or friction or both).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. -6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

April 5, 2004

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada:

(703) 308-2187

TC 3700 Receptionist:

(703) 308-1148

Customer Service: Fax (directly to Examiner) (703) 746-3253

(703) 306-5648

Fax (Official):

(703) 872-9306